

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,291	04/07/2006	Timothy Tak Yip	035394-0292	2464
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 02/23/2011 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		LIN, JERRY		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) YIP ET AL. 10/539,291

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JERRY LIN	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR. 1.3 after SIX (6) MONITHS from the maining date of the communication.  - If NO period for egyls is specified above, the machinem statute, by the control of the communication	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	nuary 2010					
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Discountification of Obstance						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-102</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-83 and 88-102</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 84-87 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	coloction requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		(4) (6)				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of.  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stane			
application from the International Bureau	•	o in this reactional	Otago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<li>Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li>					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/C6) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date  5) Abstace of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:
S. Patent and Trademark Office	200

Application/Control Number: 10/539,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

## DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed January 26, 2010, have been fully considered and

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last

Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

they are deemed to be persuasive. However, upon consultation with a Supervisory

they are deemed to be persuasive. However, upon consultation with a Supervisory

Patent Examiner and reconsideration of the claims the following rejections are deemed

necessary. The rejections constitute the complete set presently being applied to the

instant application.

## Status of the Claims

Claims 84-87 and biomarker WM-447 are under examination.

Claims 1-83 and 88-102 are withdrawn.

## Drawings

The replacement drawings for Figure 1C were received on January 20, 2010. These drawings are accepted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd Paragraph

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,291

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 84-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
applicant regards as the invention.

The instant claims list the biomarkers using Cu(II) IMAC3 ProteinChip array format or the WCX ProteinChip array format. However these labels are set by the manufacturer of the ProteinChips. The manufacturer may change these labels in the future as they create different ProteinChips with different formats. Thus, the listed labels do not identify the biomarkers, and render the claims indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 84-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have a described a method of qualifying lung carcinoma status in a subject using one or more listed biomarkers embodied in a tangible computer-readable medium. The specification describes various biomarkers which are used to qualify lung cancer status. These biomarkers are described as using the Cu(II) IMAC3 ProteinChip

Art Unit: 1631

array format or the WCX ProteinChip array format. The specification provides the apparent molecular weight of each of the biomarkers (See Figures). While applicants have provided the molecular weights, applicants have not described chemical, physical, or other identifying characteristics. Furthermore, the provided molecular weights are insufficient to identify the biomarkers. If one of skill in the art were to perform the method, he or she would not know if the detected protein with the same molecular weight as those listed in claim 84 is the same protein as a protein listed in claim 84. Given the unpredictability of the biological sciences, one of skill in the art would need other identifying characteristics to verify if the proteins are the same.

In addition, the use of Cu(II) IMAC3 ProteinChip array format or the WCX

ProteinChip array format to identify the protein is also insufficient to identify the
biomarkers, because these labels are set by the manufacturer of the ProteinChips. The
manufacturer may change these labels in the future as they create different

ProteinChips with different formats. One of skill in the art would face further difficulty
with determining if detected proteins are the same as those listed in the claims. Thus,
specification does not describe the biomarkers in such a way as to reasonable convey
to one skilled in the art that the inventors had possession of the claimed invention.

6. Claims 84-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

Application/Control Number: 10/539,291

Art Unit: 1631

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in <a href="Exparte Forman">Exparte Forman</a>, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986) and reiterated by the Court of Appeals in <a href="In re Wands">In re Wands</a>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 at 1404 (CAFC 1988). The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary; (2) the amount of direction presented; (3) the presence or absence of working examples; (4) the nature of the invention; (5) the state of the prior art; (6) the relative skill of those in the art; (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (8) the breadth of the claims. While each factor is not explicitly discussed, each factor has been considered and the relevant factors as discussed below.

The claims are drawn to a method of qualifying lung carcinoma status in a subject using one or more listed biomarkers embodied in a tangible computer-readable medium. However, specification recites that a detection of a pattern of these biomarkers allows the user to qualify lung carcinoma status (Specification, paragraphs 0006-0021). Only markers WM-446 and WM-447 are identified as markers capable of identifying lung cancer by themselves (paragraph 0011). The specification does not teach or show working examples of using the other biomarkers identifying lung carcinoma by themselves. Given that the art is unpredictable, one of skill in the art would have to perform undue experimentation in order to determine if any of these biomarkers are capable of identifying lung carcinoma by themselves.

Art Unit: 1631

In addition, because the biomarkers are listed in way that one of skill in the art could not verify if a detected protein was the same as a biomarker (see above), one of skill in the art must perform undue experimentation to determine if the detected protein was a biomarker of lung carcinoma.

## Withdrawn Rejections

Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed January 20, 2010, with respect to the rejections made under 35 U.S.C§101 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The amendments are sufficient to overcome these rejections. These rejections have been withdrawn.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JERRY LIN whose telephone number is (571)272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00pm, M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie A. Moran can be reached on (571) 272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,291 Page 7

Art Unit: 1631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerry Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631 2/22/2010